

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/676,170	10/02/2003		Ross J. Hamel	8932-684-999	6800		
51832 JONES DAY	7590	10/22/2007		EXAMINER			
222 EAST 41S				WERNER, JONATHAN S			
NEW YORK, NY 10017-6702				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•		•		3732			
			·		<u>, </u>		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
	•			10/22/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
10/676,170	HAMEL, ROSS J.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jonathan Werner	3732	
	ł	

		Jonathan Werner	•	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this com	munication appe	ars on the cover sheet	with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 September 2007</u> FAIL	S TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CO	NDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection this application, applicant must timely fi places the application in condition for a a Request for Continued Examination (I time periods:	le one of the follow llowance; (2) a No	ving replies: (1) an amer tice of Appeal (with appe	ndment, aff eal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmo b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the r no event, however, will the statutory per	mailing date of this A	dvisory Action, or (2) the da	ate set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, che TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJEC	eck either box (a) or	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b)		•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR nave been filed is the date for purposes of determinunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the exset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply receive may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. SNOTICE OF APPEAL	ning the period of ex opiration date of the sed by the Office later	tension and the correspond shortened statutory period f than three months after the	ing amount or reply orig	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.3 a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any i	37(a)), or any exte	nsion thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	<i></i>				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed afte They raise new issues that would 					ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matte	•	-		•	
(c) They are not deemed to place the appeal; and/or	e application in be	tter form for appeal by m	aterially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims wi	ithout canceling a	corresponding number o	of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet	. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in complianc	e with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice	of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the fol	lowing rejection(s)	:			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) non-allowable claim(s). 			separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed a how the new or amended claims would The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) Claim(s) allowed:	be rejected is pro			ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,4-7,9-17,19,21,23,	25 26 40 41 44 50	and 52 50			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,4-7,9-77,79,27,25, Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		1 and 52-59.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	··				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after because applicant failed to provide a sl was not earlier presented. See 37 CFF 	howing of good an	it before or on the date of d sufficient reasons why	of filing a Northead	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after entered because the affidavit or other es showing a good and sufficient reasons 	evidence failed to	overcome <u>all</u> rejections u	inder appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is ente	red. An explanation	n of the status of the cla	ims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHE					
11. The request for reconsideration has b	een considered bu	ut does NOT place the a	pplication i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclos 13. Other:	ure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	\cap \mathcal{U}	<i>(</i>)
1	J Rodie		(Konathan C	Vernei
Cres	O Mode	XXY.		Jonathan Werner	
	RODRIGUEZ	υ <i>γ</i> ΄	()	Examiner	

CRIS RODRIGUEZ

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant remarks that the previous Office Action fails to identify an opening on the tightener that is configured and dimensioned to receive a handle. For sake of clarity, the reference numerals used to describe each claimed element should be more consistent with those used to describe similar elements as described in the reference patent to Wells (US 5,904,650). However, Examiner maintains that irrespective of the numbering of each reference element, it is still the Applicant's responsibility to be fully aware of all aspects of the prior art that may have been pertinent to Examiner's original interpretation. For example, in order to better illustrate Examiner's interpretation of the Wells reference in relation to the proposed claim 1, the handle element is shown by Wells as reference numeral 40, the coupling mechanism as reference numeral 70, wherein the coupling element comprises a knob referenced as element 66, said knob having a bore 68, and wherein the handle has an opening at its distal end 44 which is configured to receive the knob. Both Figures 2-3 clearly show these arrangements.